

International conference on

Extended defects in semiconductors, Göttingen 2014

# Dislocation clusters in multicrystalline silicon

Daniel Oriwol, <u>Hartmut S. Leipner</u>, Andreas N. Danilewsky, Lamine Sylla, Winfried Seifert, Martin Kittler, Jan Bauer



© All rights reserved 2014

## Introduction

Multicrystalline silicon grown by directional solidification is the mainstream in PV industry due to low cost of ownership and high throughput.

#### Microwave-detected photoconductivity



Wafers

#### Ingot

Bricks

## **Dislocation issues in mc Si**

#### Minority carrier lifetime vs etch pit density

#### Carrier lifetime, photoluminescence and dislocation density

[Tarasov 1999]



[Arafune 2006]

#### **Dislocations and solar-cell efficiency**



#### **Etched wafer surface**



Typical defect distribution

#### **Change of defect distribution in the ingot**







## **Evolution of dislocations**



- Dislocation clusters mainly generated at grain boundaries
- Atomistic source of the spontaneous dislocation generation not known

## **Grain orientation effect**

Light clusters (L)

Dense clusters (D)



Grain orientation  $\langle uvw \rangle$ 

## **Slip planes in relation to growth direction**

- Angle  $\omega$  between growth direction and slip plane normal important for cluster formation
- (N) High ω for all slip planes or moderate ω for one plane: no clusters, e. g. (100), (511) grains
  - (D) Low  $\omega$  for several slip planes:

dense clusters, e. g.  $\langle 110 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 331 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 531 \rangle$  grains

(L) Moderate  $\omega$  for several slip planes *or* low  $\omega$  for one plane: light clusters, *e. g.* (111), (211), (311) grains



#### **Dislocation arrangements**



```
EPD ~ 1×10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>
```

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

Too low for dislocation pile-ups/subgrain boundaries

#### **TEM of subgrain boundaries**

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Dislocation distance h = 5 ... 900 nm
- A preferred alignment dislocation arrangements exist, but not in relation to the orientation of the grains.

## White beam X-ray topography (WB-XRT)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Interpretation of WB-XRT contrasts**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Splitting of the reflection due to subgrain boundary

## **Splitting of reflections**

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### D Oriwol *et al*: Acta Mater **61** (2013) 6903

# **Tilt of subgrains**

Growth direction y

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

Simulation with LauePT

5-5384 7 -1 -5 20 - 22-46 1-35 1-3 22-4 0-26 02-2 13-3 -228 -35 -260-355

Simulation, rotated by 3° about y

Subgrains are tilted about an axis parallel to the growth direction

#### **Relation of tilt and subgrain boundaries**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Tilt = 0.07° (d6) ... 0.3° (d4)  $\rightarrow$  dislocation distance h = 800 ... 30 nm
- The increase in dislocation density in growth direction leads to a continuous generation of new subgrain boundaries.

## **EBIC and X-ray topography**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Dark lock-in thermography (DLIT)**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Correlation analysis**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Conclusions**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Evolution of dislocation pattern**

- Initial generation, mostly at grain boundaries
- Inhomogeneous dislocation distribution on different scales,  $(N) \rightarrow (L)$
- Multiplication, pile-up and restructuring to subgrain boundaries, (D)
- Dense dislocation clusters with dominant influence on solar cell efficiency

# Źěkujom se wutšobnje.

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### References

- K Arafune *et al*: Phys. B **376** (2006) 236.
- L Bragg, JF Nye: Proc Royal Soc Lond Ser A (1947) 474.
- O Breitenstein: Sol En Mater Sol Cells **107** (2012) 381.
- D Oriwol *et al*: Acta Mater **61** (2013) 6903.
- I Tarasov *et al*: Phys. B **273-274** (1999) 549.